I John 4:15-5-12 - Accepting God's Testimony and Life

EMC Wednesday Night Bible Study - 8-21-19

Some	Implications of Abiding Life (vv.	15-21)
Some	implications: of v. 15	
•	V. 16a - we "come to	the loveGod has for us" - personal experience
	V. 16b - we "abide in love"	
•	V. 17 - our "love is	" - 2 marks:
	 V. 17 - "confidence in the 	day of judgment" - sons/daughters by grace
	 V. 18 - "no fear," but rather 	, , ,
•	Vv. 20-21 - love is	. , ,
		
Summ	narization of Themes (5:1-4)	
	s—obedience (2:3-6), love (2:7-11),	and belief (2:18-27)—intertwined
•		_ (cf. John 1:12-13; 3:1-8) - Initiated by God, effected through
	the Spirit, conditioned upon faith i	
•	Faith, obedience, and love are	
•		Christ" (v. 1; cf. 4:2-3, 15: 5:1, 6-8)
	 Obedience to his comma 	
	 Love for God (vv. 1-2) an 	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		overcomes the world" (cf. 2:10, 15-17)
•		us and makes obedience to God a
	 Love as both result and te 	est of new birtin
•	- what overcomes the world: our Not in the abstract, but in a specif The "world" = the secessionists and	ric (v. 5) nd their false teachings
		"the One who came by water and blood"
•	Vs. the secessionists, who claime	ed he came "with the water only" (v. 6b)
Option	n #1 - water and blood refer to the 2	(Luther and Calvin)
	Water = baptism. Blood = euchari	
	Main issue: "came" (aorist), not "is	
	main leade. Same (ashet), not is	5 55 mily
Option •	n #2 - linked to blood and water of Jo Past event and "testimony" Main issues:	ohn 19:34-35 (Augustine and others)
		water and blood, they "came out" of him
		vith his rather than his divine-human person
Option	n #3 - referring to the beginning and	end of Christ's earthly
(Tertul	,	
•	Water →	Blood →
•	Best comports with historical cont	ext of the letter (Cerinthus' "Jesus" and "the Christ") before and during his baptism and during and after the cross

 Stresses the unity of his earthly ministry and person
V. 6b - "It is the Spirit who testifies, because the Spirit is the truth"
The Holy Spirit offers direct to this truth
o Indwelling possession (3:24; 4:13) and anointing (2:20, 27; 4:1-6)
 Enlightens and empower confession (I John 4:2; cf. I Cor. 12:3)
He truthfully testifies to the The Spirit of Truth who is "the truth" (cf. I John 4:6; John
14:17; 15:26; 16:13; et al.)
Vv. 7-8 - these three witnesses agree: the Spirit, water, and blood
 The necessity of 2-3 witnesses in the law (Deut. 19:15)
Through these witnesses, "Godhas testified concerning His Son"
 Jesus is the object of this testimony, but God is its
 Vv. 10-12 - unfolds the purpose and result of God's testimony Purpose: to evoke "receiving the testimony" (v. 9) = "believing in the Son of God" (v. 10) The unbeliever "makes God a liar" by rejecting what has been said about the Son Stott: "Unbelief is not a misfortune to be pitied; it is a sin to be deplored."
• Result: (vv. 11-12)
 Son was given for us (I John 4:14)
 In him, life (John 17:3) is given to us (v. 12; cf. John 11:25; 14:6)
3 important truths about eternal life:

- It is an undeserved gift received by faith, not a prize for our achievements
- It is found only in Jesus Christ
- It is a present possession

John 20:31 - "These have been written so that you may believe that Jesus is the Christ, the Son of God; and that believing you may have life in His name."

- The way to life is faith, and the way to faith is testimony.
- God has borne witness to his Son in order that we may believe in him and have him and life.

Appendix I - Concerning the Comma Johanneum

The Comma Johanneum is a variant reading of I John 5:7-8.

• Comma = "short clause." Johanneum = "pertaining to John."

Without the *comma*:

"For there are three that testify: the Spirit and the water and the blood; and the three are in agreement." (NASB)

With the comma (in italics):

"For there are three that bear record in heaven, the Father, the Word, and the Holy Ghost: and these three are one. And there are three that bear witness in earth, the Spirit, and the water, and the blood: and these three agree in one." (KJV)

Why is is absent from the NASB (and virtually all modern English translations)?

- It does not occur in any Greek manuscript prior to the 14th century
 - Exception: one 11th and one 12th century manuscript added into the margins at a later date
- It does not appear in any quotation of an early church Father
 - o It would have surely been quoted during the trinitarian debates
- It does not appear in any of the ancient translations, including the early editions of the Latin Vulgate

Metzger: "That these words are spurious and have no right to stand in the New testament is certain."

Why is it present in the KJV (and NKJV and MEV)?

- In the 16th century, Erasmus compiled a Greek text that became known as the Textus Receptus
- He omitted the *comma* in his first 2 editions, but later included it under pressure from the Roman Catholic church because of its explicit support for the trinity.
- The Textus Receptus is the Greek basis for the KJV
 - Based on 6 Greek manuscripts, all dated from the 12th Century or later, all but 1 coming from the Byzantine family - the largest family of manuscripts we have today, but not the oldest
 - Erasmus didn't have the whole Bible in Greek, so some passages were translated from the Latin.
 - Greek texts accepted today are based on discoveries from the 19th and 20th centuries which are vastly older, more accurate, and superior
 - See "Textual Criticism & the KJV" from Wednesday Night Bible study on 4-20-16

Conclusion: A scribe added the phrase at a very late date. While the doctrine of the Trinity is biblical, these words are not to be considered God-breathed scripture.